

# SUM RULES IN THE HEAVY QUARK LIMIT OF QCD AND ISGUR-WISE FUNCTIONS

F. JUGEAU, A. LE YAOUANC, L. OLIVER AND J.-C. RAYNAL

*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Unité Mixte de Recherche UMR 8627 - CNRS,  
Université de Paris XI, Bâtiment 210, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France*

*E-mail: luis.oliver@th.u-psud.fr*

*Presented by L. Oliver*

Using the OPE, we formulate new sum rules in the heavy quark limit of QCD. These sum rules imply that the elastic Isgur-Wise function  $\xi(w)$  is an alternate series in powers of  $(w-1)$ . Moreover, one gets that the  $n$ -th derivative of  $\xi(w)$  at  $w=1$  can be bounded by the  $(n-1)$ -th one, and an absolute lower bound for the  $n$ -th derivative  $(-1)^n \xi^{(n)}(1) \geq \frac{(2n+1)!!}{2^{2n}}$ . Moreover, for the curvature we find  $\xi''(1) \geq \frac{1}{5}[4\rho^2 + 3(\rho^2)^2]$  where  $\rho^2 = -\xi'(1)$ . We show that the quadratic term  $\frac{3}{5}(\rho^2)^2$  has a transparent physical interpretation, as it is leading in a non-relativistic expansion in the mass of the light quark. These bounds should be taken into account in the parametrizations of  $\xi(w)$  used to extract  $|V_{cb}|$ . These results are consistent with the dispersive bounds, and they strongly reduce the allowed region of the latter for  $\xi(w)$ . The method is extended to the subleading quantities in  $1/m_Q$ , namely  $\xi_3(w)$  and  $\bar{\Lambda}_3(w)$ .

In the leading order of the heavy quark expansion of QCD, Bjorken sum rule (SR) <sup>1</sup> relates the slope of the elastic Isgur-Wise (IW) function  $\xi(w)$ , to the IW functions of the transitions between the ground state and the  $j^P = \frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+$  excited states,  $\tau_{1/2}^{(n)}(w)$ ,  $\tau_{3/2}^{(n)}(w)$ , at zero recoil  $w=1$  ( $n$  is a radial quantum number). This SR leads to the lower bound  $-\xi'(1) = \rho^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}$ . Recently, a new SR was formulated by Uraltsev in the heavy quark limit <sup>2</sup> involving also  $\tau_{1/2}^{(n)}(1)$ ,  $\tau_{3/2}^{(n)}(1)$ , that implies, combined with Bjorken SR, the much stronger lower bound  $\rho^2 \geq \frac{3}{4}$ , a result that came as a big surprise. In ref. <sup>3</sup>, in order to make a systematic study in the heavy quark limit of QCD, we have developed a manifestly covariant formalism within the Operator Product Expansion (OPE). We did recover Uraltsev SR plus a new class of SR. Making a natural physical assumption, this new class of SR imply the bound  $\sigma^2 \geq \frac{5}{4}\rho^2$  where  $\sigma^2$  is the curvature of the IW function. Using this formalism including the whole tower of excited states  $j^P$ , we have recovered rigorously the bound  $\sigma^2 \geq \frac{5}{4}\rho^2$  plus generalizations that extend it

to all the derivatives of the IW function  $\xi(w)$  at zero recoil, that is shown to be an alternate series in powers of  $(w-1)$ .

Using the OPE and the trace formalism in the heavy quark limit, different initial and final four-velocities  $v_i$  and  $v_f$ , and heavy quark currents, where  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are arbitrary Dirac matrices  $J_1 = \bar{h}_{v'}^{(c)} \Gamma_1 h_{v_i}^{(b)}$ ,  $J_2 = \bar{h}_{v_f}^{(b)} \Gamma_2 h_{v'}^{(c)}$ , the following sum rule can be written <sup>4</sup> :

$$\left\{ \sum_{D=P,V} \sum_n Tr \left[ \bar{\mathcal{B}}_f(v_f) \bar{\Gamma}_2 \mathcal{D}^{(n)}(v') \right] Tr \left[ \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(n)}(v') \Gamma_1 \mathcal{B}_i(v_i) \right] \xi^{(n)}(w_i) \xi^{(n)}(w_f) + \text{Other excited states} \right\} = -2\xi(w_{if})$$

$$Tr \left[ \bar{\mathcal{B}}_f(v_f) \bar{\Gamma}_2 P'_+ \Gamma_1 \mathcal{B}_i(v_i) \right] . \quad (1)$$

In this formula  $v'$  is the intermediate meson four-velocity,  $P'_+ = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \not{v}')$  comes from the residue of the positive energy part of the  $c$ -quark propagator,  $\xi(w_{if})$  is the elastic Isgur-Wise function that appears because one assumes  $v_i \neq v_f$ .  $\mathcal{B}_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}_f$  are the  $4 \times 4$  matrices of the ground state  $B$  or  $B^*$  mesons and  $\mathcal{D}^{(n)}$  those of all possible ground state or excited state  $D$  mesons coupled to  $B_i$  and  $B_f$

through the currents. In (1) we have made explicit the  $j = \frac{1}{2}^-$   $D$  and  $D^*$  mesons and their radial excitations of quantum number  $n$ . The explicit contribution of the other excited states is written below.

The variables  $w_i$ ,  $w_f$  and  $w_{if}$  are defined as  $w_i = v_i \cdot v'$ ,  $w_f = v_f \cdot v'$ ,  $w_{if} = v_i \cdot v_f$ .

The domain of  $(w_i, w_f, w_{if})$  is <sup>3</sup>  $(w_i, w_f \geq 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} w_i w_f - \sqrt{(w_i^2 - 1)(w_f^2 - 1)} &\leq w_{if} \\ &\leq w_i w_f + \sqrt{(w_i^2 - 1)(w_f^2 - 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The SR (1) writes  $L(w_i, w_f, w_{if}) = R(w_i, w_f, w_{if})$ , where  $L(w_i, w_f, w_{if})$  is the sum over the intermediate charmed states and  $R(w_i, w_f, w_{if})$  is the OPE side. Within the domain (2) one can derive relatively to any of the variables  $w_i$ ,  $w_f$  and  $w_{if}$  and obtain different SR taking different limits to the frontiers of the domain.

As in ref. <sup>3</sup>, we choose as initial and final states the  $B$  meson  $\mathcal{B}_i(v_i) = P_{i+}(-\gamma_5)$   $\mathcal{B}_f(v_f) = P_{f+}(-\gamma_5)$  and vector or axial currents projected along the  $v_i$  and  $v_f$  four-velocities

$$J_1 = \bar{h}_{v'}^{(c)} \not{v}_i h_{v_i}^{(b)}, \quad J_2 = \bar{h}_{v'}^{(b)} \not{v}_f h_{v_f}^{(c)} \quad (3)$$

we obtain SR (1) with the sum of all excited states  $j^P$  in a compact form :

$$\begin{aligned} (w_i + 1)(w_f + 1) \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \frac{\ell + 1}{2\ell + 1} S_\ell(w_i, w_f, w_{if}) \\ \sum_n \tau_{\ell+1/2}^{(\ell)(n)}(w_i) \tau_{\ell+1/2}^{(\ell)(n)}(w_f) \\ + \sum_{\ell \geq 1} S_\ell(w_i, w_f, w_{if}) \sum_n \tau_{\ell-1/2}^{(\ell)(n)}(w_i) \tau_{\ell-1/2}^{(\ell)(n)}(w_f) \\ = (1 + w_i + w_f + w_{if}) \xi(w_{if}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We get, choosing instead the axial currents,

$$J_1 = \bar{h}_{v'}^{(c)} \not{v}_i \gamma_5 h_{v_i}^{(b)}, \quad J_2 = \bar{h}_{v'}^{(b)} \not{v}_f \gamma_5 h_{v_f}^{(c)}, \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} S_{\ell+1}(w_i, w_f, w_{if}) \\ \sum_n \tau_{\ell+1/2}^{(\ell)(n)}(w_i) \tau_{\ell+1/2}^{(\ell)(n)}(w_f) \\ + (w_i - 1)(w_f - 1) \\ \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{\ell}{2\ell - 1} S_{\ell-1}(w_i, w_f, w_{if}) \\ \sum_n \tau_{\ell-1/2}^{(\ell)(n)}(w_i) \tau_{\ell-1/2}^{(\ell)(n)}(w_f) \\ = -(1 - w_i - w_f + w_{if}) \xi(w_{if}). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Following the formulation of heavy-light states for arbitrary  $j^P$  given by Falk <sup>4</sup>, we have defined in ref. <sup>3</sup> the IW functions  $\tau_{\ell+1/2}^{(\ell)(n)}(w)$  and  $\tau_{\ell-1/2}^{(\ell)(n)}(w)$ ,  $\ell$  and  $j = \ell \pm \frac{1}{2}$  being the orbital and total angular momentum of the light quark.

In (3) and (5)  $S_n$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_n = v_{i\nu_1} \cdots v_{i\nu_n} v_{f\mu_1} \cdots v_{f\mu_n} \\ \sum_\lambda \varepsilon'^{(\lambda)*\nu_1 \cdots \nu_n} \varepsilon'^{(\lambda)\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

One can show <sup>3</sup> :

$$\begin{aligned} S_n = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}} C_{n,k} (w_i^2 - 1)^k (w_f^2 - 1)^k \\ (w_i w_f - w_{if})^{n-2k} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

with  $C_{n,k} = (-1)^k \frac{(n!)^2}{(2n)!} \frac{(2n-2k)!}{k!(n-k)!(n-2k)!}$ . From the sum of (4) and (6) one obtains, differentiating relatively to  $w_{if}$  <sup>5</sup> ( $\ell \geq 0$ ) :

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{(\ell)}(1) = \frac{1}{4} (-1)^\ell \ell! \left\{ \frac{\ell + 1}{2\ell + 1} 4 \sum_n \left[ \tau_{\ell+1/2}^{(\ell)(n)}(1) \right]^2 \right. \\ \left. + \sum_n \left[ \tau_{\ell-1/2}^{(\ell-1)(n)}(1) \right]^2 + \sum_n \left[ \tau_{\ell-1/2}^{(\ell)(n)}(1) \right]^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

This relation shows that  $\xi(w)$  is an alternate series in powers of  $(w - 1)$ . Equation (9) reduces to Bjorken SR <sup>1</sup> for  $\ell = 1$ . Differentiating (6) relatively to  $w_{if}$  and making  $w_i = w_f = w_{if} = 1$  one obtains :

$$\xi^{(\ell)}(1) = \ell! (-1)^\ell \sum_n \left[ \tau_{\ell+1/2}^{(\ell)(n)}(1) \right]^2 \quad (\ell \geq 0). \quad (10)$$

Combining (9) and (10) one obtains a SR for all  $\ell$  that reduces to Uraltsev SR <sup>2</sup> for  $\ell = 1$ . From (9) and (10) one obtains :

$$(-1)^\ell \xi^{(\ell)}(1) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{2\ell+1}{\ell} \ell! \left\{ \sum_n \left[ \tau_{\ell-1/2}^{(\ell-1)(n)}(1) \right]^2 + \sum_n \left[ \tau_{\ell-1/2}^{(\ell)(n)}(1) \right]^2 \right\} . \quad (11)$$

implying

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^\ell \xi^{(\ell)}(1) &\geq \frac{2\ell+1}{4} \left[ (-1)^{\ell-1} \xi^{(\ell-1)}(1) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{(2\ell+1)!!}{2^{2\ell}} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

that gives, in particular, for the lower cases,

$$-\xi'(1) = \rho^2 \geq \frac{3}{4} \quad , \quad \xi''(1) \geq \frac{15}{16} \quad (13)$$

Considering systematically the derivatives of the SR (4) and (6) relatively to  $w_i$ ,  $w_f$ ,  $w_{if}$  with the boundary conditions  $w_{if} = w_i = w_f = 1$ , one obtains a new SR:

$$\frac{4}{3} \rho^2 + (\rho^2)^2 - \frac{5}{3} \sigma^2 + \sum_{n \neq 0} |\xi^{(n)'}(1)|^2 = 0 \quad (14)$$

that implies :

$$\sigma^2 \geq \frac{1}{5} [4\rho^2 + 3(\rho^2)^2] . \quad (15)$$

There is a simple intuitive argument to understand the term  $\frac{3}{5}(\rho^2)^2$  in the best bound (15), namely the non-relativistic quark model, i.e. a non-relativistic light quark  $q$  interacting with a heavy quark  $Q$  through a potential. The form factor has the simple form :

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{k}^2) &= \int d\mathbf{r} \varphi_0^+(r) \\ &\exp \left( i \frac{m_q}{m_q + m_Q} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} \right) \varphi_0(r) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where  $\varphi_0(r)$  is the ground state radial wave function. Identifying the non-relativistic IW function  $\xi_{NR}(w)$  with the form factor  $F(\mathbf{k}^2)$  (16), one can prove that,

$$\sigma_{NR}^2 \geq \frac{3}{5} [\rho_{NR}^2]^2 . \quad (17)$$

Thus, the non-relativistic limit is a good guide-line to study the shape of the IW function  $\xi(w)$ . We have recently generalized the bound (17) to all the derivatives of  $\xi_{NR}(w)$ . The method uses the positivity of matrices of moments of the ground state wave function <sup>6</sup>. We have shown that the method can be generalized to the real function  $\xi(w)$  of QCD.

An interesting phenomenological remark is that the simple parametrization for the IW function <sup>7</sup>

$$\xi(w) = \left( \frac{2}{w+1} \right)^{2\rho^2} \quad (18)$$

satisfies the inequalities (12), (15) if  $\rho^2 \geq \frac{3}{4}$ .

The result (12), that shows that all derivatives at zero recoil are large, should have important phenomenological implications for the empirical fit needed for the extraction of  $|V_{cb}|$  in  $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$ . The usual fits to extract  $|V_{cb}|$  using a linear or linear plus quadratic dependence of  $\xi(w)$  are not accurate enough. It is important to point out that the most precise data points are the ones at large  $w$ , so that higher derivatives contribute importantly in this region.

A considerable effort has been developed to formulate dispersive constraints on the shape of the form factors in  $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$  <sup>8-9</sup>, at finite mass.

Our approach, based on Bjorken-like SR, holds *in the physical region* of the semileptonic decays  $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)} \ell \nu$  and *in the heavy quark limit*. The two approaches are quite different in spirit and in their results.

Let us consider the main results of ref. <sup>9</sup> summarized by the one-parameter formula

$$\xi(w) \cong 1 - 8\rho^2 z + (51\rho^2 - 10)z^2 - (252\rho^2 - 84)z^3 \quad (19)$$

with the variable  $z(w)$  defined by

$$z = \frac{\sqrt{w+1} - \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{w+1} + \sqrt{2}} \quad (20)$$

and the allowed range for  $\rho^2$  being  $-0.17 < \rho^2 < 1.51$ . This domain is considerably tightened by the lower bound on  $\rho^2$  :  $\frac{3}{4} \leq \rho^2 <$

1.51, that shows that our type of bounds are complementary to the upper bounds obtained from dispersive methods.

By extension of our method to subleading order in  $1/m_Q$ , we have shown that the subleading quantities, that are functions of  $w$ ,  $\bar{\Lambda}\xi(w)$  and  $\xi_3(w)$  can be expressed in terms of leading quantities, namely the  $\frac{1}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+$  IW functions  $\tau_j^{(n)}(w)$  and the corresponding level spacings  $\Delta E_j^{(n)}$  ( $j = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$ )<sup>10</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Lambda}\xi(w) = & 2(w+1) \sum_n \Delta E_{3/2}^{(n)} \tau_{3/2}^{(n)}(1) \tau_{3/2}^{(n)}(w) \\ & + 2 \sum_n \Delta E_{1/2}^{(n)} \tau_{1/2}^{(n)}(1) \tau_{1/2}^{(n)}(w) \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_3(w) = & (w+1) \sum_n \Delta E_{3/2}^{(n)} \tau_{3/2}^{(n)}(1) \tau_{3/2}^{(n)}(w) \\ & - 2 \sum_n \Delta E_{1/2}^{(n)} \tau_{1/2}^{(n)}(1) \tau_{1/2}^{(n)}(w) \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

These quantities reduce to known SR for  $w = 1$ , respectively Voloshin SR<sup>11</sup> and a SR for  $\xi_3(1)$ <sup>12,2</sup>, and generalizes them for all  $w$ .

The comparison of (21), (22) with the results of the BT quark model<sup>7</sup> is very encouraging. Within this scheme  $\xi(w)$  is given by (18) with  $\rho^2 = 1.02$ , while one gets, for the  $n = 0$  states

$$\tau_j^{(0)}(w) = \tau_j^{(0)}(1) \left( \frac{2}{w+1} \right)^{2\sigma_j^2} \quad (23)$$

with  $\tau_{3/2}^{(0)}(1) = 0.54$ ,  $\sigma_{3/2}^2 = 1.50$ ,  $\tau_{1/2}^{(0)}(1) = 0.22$  and  $\sigma_{1/2}^2 = 0.83$ . Assuming the reasonable saturation of the SR with the lowest  $n = 0$  states<sup>7</sup>, one gets, from the first relation (21), a sensibly constant value for  $\bar{\Lambda} = 0.513 \pm 0.015$ .

In conclusion, using sum rules in the heavy quark limit of QCD, as formulated in ref.<sup>3,10</sup>, we have found lower bounds for the moduli of the derivatives of  $\xi(w)$ . Any phenomenological parametrization of  $\xi(w)$  intending to fit the CKM matrix element  $|V_{cb}|$  in  $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\ell\nu$  should satisfy these bounds. Moreover, we discuss these bounds in comparison with the dispersive approach. We

show that there is no contradiction, our bounds restraining the region for  $\xi(w)$  allowed by this latter method. Moreover, we have found non-trivial new information on subleading contributions in  $1/m_Q$ .

### Acknowledgement.

We are indebted to the EC contract HPRN-CT-2002-00311 (EURIDICE) for its support.

### References

1. J. D. Bjorken, invited talk at Les Rencontres de la Vallée d'Aoste, La Thuile, SLAC-PUB-5278, 1990 ; N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. **D43**, 819 (1991).
2. N. Uraltsev, Phys. Lett. **B501**, 86 (2001).
3. A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver and J.-C. Raynal, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 114009 (2003).
4. A. Falk, Nucl. Phys. **B378**, 79 (1992).
5. A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver and J.-C. Raynal, Phys. Lett. **B557**, 207 (2003).
6. F. Jugeau, A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver and J.-C. Raynal, hep-ph/0405234, to appear in Phys. Rev. D.
7. V. Morénas, A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pène and J.-C. Raynal, Phys. Rev. **D56**, 5668 (1997).
8. C. G. Boyd, B. Grinstein and R. F. Lebed, Phys. Rev. **D56**, 6895 (1997).
9. I. Caprini, L. Lellouch and M. Neubert, Nucl. Phys. **B530**, 153 (1998).
10. J. Jugeau, A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver and J.-C. Raynal, hep-ph/0407176, to appear in Phys. Rev. D.
11. M. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 3062 (1992).
12. A. Le Yaouanc et al., Phys. Lett. **B480**, 119 (2000).